

Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with Real Spectra in Quantum Mechanics

C. Yuce*

*Department of Physics, Anadolu University, Eskisehir, Turkey**

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

A condition to have a real spectrum for a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian is given. As special cases, it is shown that the condition is reduced to Hermiticity and PT symmetric conditions.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ge, 03.65.-w, 02.60.Lj

INTRODUCTION

In quantum mechanics one requires that the eigenvalues of an operator corresponding to a physical observable be real numbers. The class of operators that have this property are called Hermitian operators and are a cornerstone in the theory of quantum mechanics. Hermiticity is a useful property as it guarantees the reality of the spectrum. However, in 1998, it was shown that the non-Hermitian potential

$$U(x) = x^2(ix)^\epsilon \quad (\epsilon \geq 0), \quad (1)$$

have real positive spectra [1]. It was argued that the reality of the spectrum was due to the unbroken space-time reflection PT symmetry of the Hamiltonians, where P, T are parity and time-reversal operator, respectively. In other words, the eigenvalues are real when the Hamiltonian and its wave function remain invariant under a simultaneous parity and time reversal transformations. The first examples for complex potentials with real spectra were found by using the numerical techniques. After the first examples, further ones have been identified using perturbative technique and some exactly solvable PT symmetric Hamiltonians [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11] have been found.

Since PT symmetry is an alternative condition to Hermiticity, it is now possible to construct infinitely many new Hamiltonians that would have been rejected before because they are not Hermitian.

Let us recall the properties of PT operation. The parity and the time-reversal operator is linear and antilinear, respectively and have the effect

$$p \rightarrow p, \quad x \rightarrow -x, \quad i \rightarrow -i. \quad (2)$$

Note that the Heisenberg algebra $[x, p] = i$ is preserved since T changes the sign of i .

It should be stressed that PT symmetry can not be regarded as the fundamental property, which explains always the reality of the spectrum for the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian as there exist also examples with real spectra for which not even the Hamiltonian is PT symmetric. Furthermore, there are also PT -symmetric Hamiltonians that do not have a real spectrum. In contrast with the first conjectures, neither Hermiticity nor PT symmetry

serves as a sufficient condition for a quantum Hamiltonian to preserve the reality of energy eigenvalues. In fact, it has been realized that the existence of real eigenvalues can be associated with a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian provided it is η -pseudo Hermitian $H^\dagger = \eta H \eta^{-1}$. In this context, PT symmetry is P -pseudo-Hermiticity for one dimensional Hamiltonians [12].

In this Letter, we provide a theorem for the existence of the real energy eigenvalues of a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. We present some concrete examples as an application of the theorem. We discuss the properties of eigenfunctions of both Hermitian and non-Hermitian Hamiltonians.

Theorem : Let a Hamiltonian operator be given by

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + U^R(\mathbf{x}) + iU^I(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3)$$

where the real valued functions U^R, U^I are the real and imaginary parts of the potential, respectively. The energy spectrum of this Hamiltonian is real if the expectation value of its imaginary part is equal to zero

$$\langle U^I \rangle = \int |\Psi|^2 U^I d^3x = 0, \quad (4)$$

where the integral is taken over all space and Ψ is the eigenfunction of the above Hamiltonian.

Let us give the proof of this theorem. Specifically, when the Hamiltonian is Hermitian, $U^I = 0$, it is well known that energy spectrum is real. So, this condition is automatically satisfied for this case. Suppose now that $U^I \neq 0$. The energy eigenvalues of H are real if and only if $\langle H \rangle = \langle H \rangle^*$. This relation can be written more explicitly as

$$\int \Psi^*(H_0 + iU^I)\Psi d^3x = \int \Psi(H_0 - iU^I)\Psi^* d^3x, \quad (5)$$

where $H_0 = \mathbf{p}^2/2m + U^R(\mathbf{x})$ is the Hermitian part of the Hamiltonian. The integrals can be simplified by integrating by parts. Using $\int \Psi^* H_0 \Psi d^3x = \int \Psi H_0 \Psi^* d^3x$, we get

$$\int U^I |\Psi|^2 d^3x = - \int U^I |\Psi|^2 d^3x = 0, \quad (6)$$

which is satisfied if $\langle U^I \rangle = 0$. It has been proven that the energy spectrum is real provided that $\langle U^I \rangle = 0$.

When the Hamiltonian is Hermitian, $U^I = 0$, this condition is satisfied for all states. On the contrary, there are two cases for a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. 1) The expectation value, $\langle U^I \rangle$, is zero for all states; 2) It is zero for some of the eigenfunctions of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. In the latter case, the energy eigenvalues are partly real. The complex energy spectrum for a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian appears if $\langle U^I \rangle$ is not equal to zero for all of its eigenfunctions.

If the condition $\langle U^I \rangle = 0$ is satisfied then the expectation value of H is reduced to that of H_0 ; $\langle H \rangle = \langle H_0 \rangle$. However, it does not necessarily mean that the energy spectrum of H and H_0 are the same. This is because the eigenfunctions of H are not the eigenfunctions of H_0 . We will now explore the general properties of the eigenfunctions of any Hermitian H_0 and non-Hermitian Hamiltonians H .

Let $\Psi(x) = \psi^R(x) + i\psi^I(x)$ be the eigenfunctions for a Hermitian Hamiltonian H_0 , where ψ^R, ψ^I are the real valued functions. The wave function $\Psi(x)$ is assumed to vanish at infinity. So, both real and imaginary parts of the wave function satisfy the boundary conditions $\psi^R(x = \mp\infty) = 0$; $\psi^I(x = \mp\infty) = 0$. Collecting the real and imaginary parts in the Schrodinger equation, we have

$$H_0\psi^R = E\psi^R \quad H_0\psi^I = E\psi^I. \quad (7)$$

It can be seen that both ψ^R and ψ^I are the solutions to the Schrodinger equation. Since the Schrodinger equation is of second order, ψ^I is either the second independent solution or equal to ψ^R . According to uniqueness theorem, the solution is uniquely determined by applying the boundary conditions. In other words, there is one and only one solution to the differential equation, i.e., the solution is unique. Hence, ψ^I is equal to ψ^R if the boundary conditions are applied to the solution. Then, we obtain $\Psi(x) = \psi^R(x)(1 + i)$. Assuming ψ^R is normalized to unity, the constant $1 + i$ is not physical and can be dropped from the solution. So, for any Hermitian Hamiltonian, its eigenfunctions which obey two boundary conditions are real $\Psi = \Psi^*$. As examples, the wave function of the harmonic oscillator and the radial part of the hydrogen atom are real. We can extend our discussion to the trapped particle in quantum mechanics. In this case, we demand that the wave function vanishes at the walls of the box. As an example, the wave function of a free particle in a box is real. However, when the free particle is not confined into a box, the wave function is not real $\Psi = e^{ikx}$. This is because the boundary conditions are not applied. Spherical harmonics, which includes $e^{im\phi}$, is another example. In this case, although the Schrodinger equation is a second order differential equation, we only require one boundary condition for the generalized coordinate ϕ that the wave function must be single-valued. If we require two vanishing boundary conditions, then it would be real.

A consequence of the above discussion is that the flux or,

equivalently, the probability current of a Hermitian operator whose eigenfunctions vanish at two points is equal to zero

$$J = \frac{\hbar}{2mi}(\Psi^* \frac{d\Psi}{dx} - \Psi \frac{d\Psi^*}{dx}) = 0. \quad (8)$$

The flux is not zero if only if one does not impose two boundary conditions on the wave function.

It has been proven that the wave functions are real for a Hermitian Hamiltonian if two boundary conditions are imposed for the second order Schrodinger equation. However this is not the case for a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian (3). The wave functions are complex even if the eigenvalues are real. Collecting the real and imaginary parts in the Schrodinger equation yields

$$H_0\psi^R - U^I\psi^I = E\psi^R \quad H_0\psi^I + U^I\psi^R = E\psi^I. \quad (9)$$

It can be easily seen that the real and imaginary parts of the eigenfunctions satisfy the two different equations. So, ψ^R is not equal to ψ^I . Hence, we conclude that if the Hamiltonian is non-Hermitian, then the corresponding eigenfunctions are not real.

Having established the theorem about the reality of energy spectrum for a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, another question arises. Is there any non-Hermitian Hamiltonian for which $\langle U^I \rangle = 0$? Under what conditions is the expectation value $\langle U^I \rangle$ zero?

The trivial answer appears when $U^I = 0$. This corresponds to the Hermitian operator.

To find the nontrivial answer to these questions, we will use the fact that the integral of any odd function equals to zero, if limits of integration are symmetric with respect to zero. If $U^I(-x) = -U^I(x)$ and $|\Psi(-x)|^2 = |\Psi(x)|^2$, then $\langle U^I \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(x)|^2 U^I(x) dx = 0$.

We will show that PT symmetric Hamiltonian is an example for this case. It was suggested that the reality of the spectrum should be attributed to unbroken PT symmetry, that is the validity of the two relations [1]

$$[H, PT] = 0; \quad PT\Psi(x) = \mp \Psi(x). \quad (10)$$

Consider the following non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + iU^I(x)$. Assume that PT symmetry is not broken, then the equation (10) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^R(-x) - i\psi^I(-x) &= \mp (\psi^R(x) + i\psi^I(x)) , \\ U^I(-x) &= -U^I(x). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The expectation value of U^I is given by

$$\langle U^I \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^* U^I \Psi dx. \quad (12)$$

The integral can be rewritten as

$$\langle U^I \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\psi^R - i\psi^I) U^I (\psi^R + i\psi^I) dx. \quad (13)$$

Let us now change the variable x in the integral (13) to $-x$. Using (11) and its complex conjugate, it can be seen that the function in the integral is an odd function of x . Hence, the integral is equal to zero. In other words, $\langle H \rangle = \langle \frac{p^2}{2m} + U^R(x) + iU^I(x) \rangle = \langle \frac{p^2}{2m} + U^R \rangle \in \mathbf{R}$, which shows that energy eigenvalues for the non-Hermitian PT symmetric Hamiltonian H are real. PT symmetric Hamiltonian can be viewed as a class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonian which satisfy the theorem given above. Recall that energy eigenvalues of H and H_0 are not the same since the eigenfunctions are different. Let us now apply the theorem on some examples. Consider the PT symmetric generalized harmonic oscillator potential,

$$U(x) = (x - i\epsilon)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{(x - i\epsilon)^2}, \quad (14)$$

where α is a constant. This potential plays an important role for PT symmetric quantum mechanical theories, since the accompanying Schrodinger equation can be exactly solvable. Shifting the coordinate from x to $z = x - i\epsilon$, the eigenfunctions for this potential are given by [13]

$$\Psi_{nq} = N z^{-q\alpha+1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2}\right) L_n^{-q\alpha}(z^2), \quad (15)$$

where N is a constant, L_n^α are the associated Laguerre polynomials, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $q = \pm 1$ is the quasi parity. It was shown in [13] that the energy spectrum is real. So, we conclude that $\langle U^I \rangle = 0$ for this example. Using the exact eigenfunctions, we find

$$\langle U^I \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi_{nq}|^2 \left(-2\epsilon x + \frac{2(\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4})x}{(x^2 + \epsilon^2)^2} \right) dx = 0 \quad (16)$$

The integral is equal to zero since $|\Psi_{nq}|^2$ and U^I are even and odd functions of x , respectively. This exactly solvable example satisfies our theorem.

As an another example, consider the following potential

$$U(x) = g_0 (x + i\epsilon)^{2n}, \quad (17)$$

where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, g_0 is the coupling constant and ϵ is a constant. One way to generate the non-Hermitian potential from the Hermitian one is to shift the coordinate as $x \rightarrow x + i\epsilon$. The potential (17) is invariant under the following transformations $x \rightarrow -x$ and $i \rightarrow -i$. Furthermore, the imaginary part of this potential has odd parity. Assume that the eigenfunctions obeying the boundary conditions $\Psi(|x| \rightarrow \infty) = 0$ exist. Then $\langle U^I \rangle$ is equal to zero, since the integrand has odd parity. The energy eigenvalues are shown to be real without finding the exact solution.

Let us now consider the problem of a particle in a periodic potential. This is of extreme practical importance in the theory of conduction and insulation in

solids. The potential the conduction electron feels is periodic. Since the displacement operator $D\Psi(x) = \Psi(x + d)$ commutes with the Hamiltonian, these two operators have common eigenfunctions. Bloch theorem states that the eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian are of the following form $\Psi(x) = e^{ikx}u(x)$, where $u(x + d) = u(x)$. Let us study the non-Hermitian potentials for the periodic system. Consider the periodic array of atoms or molecules for which the probability has parity invariance $|\Psi(-x)|^2 = |\Psi(x)|^2$. If the imaginary part of the potential is an odd function of x , then the spectrum of band structure of a solid is real.

Having in mind that the integral of an odd function on a symmetric interval is zero, the class of the non-Hermitian operators for which $\langle U^I \rangle = 0$ has been obtained. However, PT symmetry can not be regarded as the fundamental property as there exists non-Hermitian Hamiltonian which is not invariant under PT operations but satisfies $\langle U^I \rangle = 0$. In the following, we will give such examples.

Consider first the following potential field in one dimension.

$$U(x) = x^2 + 2kx - \frac{4k}{x} + \frac{2}{x^2} + \frac{-4kx + 10 - 4i}{x^2 - i}, \quad (18)$$

where k is a constant. The corresponding Hamiltonian is neither Hermitian nor PT symmetric. The imaginary part of this potential is given by $U^I(x) = \frac{10 - 4kx - 4x^2}{1 + x^4}$, which has not odd parity. The theorem states that if there exists a state with real energy, then $\langle U^I \rangle$ must vanish for that state. We will show that there exist such a state.

A physically acceptable special solution for the potential (18) is given by

$$\Psi(x) = x^2(1 + ix^2) \exp(-x^2/2 - kx), \quad (19)$$

which vanishes as $x \rightarrow \mp\infty$. Hereafter, the constants in Schrodinger equation are set to unity for simplicity ($\hbar^2/2m = \hbar = 1$). Note that the wave function, which obeys the boundary conditions is not real as expected. The potential (18) is not Hermitian, however, the energy eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenfunction (19) is real and it is equal to $9 - k^2$.

Since the existence of a state with real energy has been shown, the theorem should work here. Evaluating the required integration yields

$$\langle U^I \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^4(10 - 4kx - 4x^2) e^{-x^2 - 2kx} dx = 0,$$

which is seen to agree with the theorem.

Non-Hermitian potentials can also be used for the trapped particles. In recent years, considerable attention has been attracted to the confinement of a particle as an application of quantum theory. For example, the electrons in a semiconductor are confined by a potential well. The confinement can be understood from

a particle in a box perspective. Trapping a particle in a small space means that only particular type of wave function are allowed. As an example of trapped particle interacting with a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, consider the potential

$$U(x) = \omega^2 x^2 + 2i\omega\epsilon x - 2k(x\omega + i\epsilon)\cot(kx), \quad (20)$$

where ϵ, ω, k are constants. Suppose that the particle is trapped into a box of length L . The boundary conditions are as follows: $\Psi(x=0) = \Psi(x=L) = 0$. The potential is PT symmetric but the interval is not symmetric. A special solution for this potential is given by

$$\Psi_k(x) = e^{-\omega x^2/2 - i\epsilon x} \sin(kx), \quad (21)$$

where $k = n\pi/L$. The corresponding energy eigenvalues are real and given by $E = k^2 + \epsilon^2 + \omega$. Since it is real, $\langle U^I \rangle$ must be zero for that state according to the theorem. If we perform the integral, we find $\langle U^I \rangle = 2\epsilon\omega \langle x \rangle - 2k\epsilon \langle \cot(kx) \rangle = 0$.

So far, we have studied the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian in one dimension. We will study Coulomb potential with an imaginary coupling constant in 3-D. Suppose that the potential acting on a particle reads

$$V(r) = r^2 + 2i\alpha r - 2i\alpha \frac{\beta}{r}, \quad (22)$$

where α, β are constants. It is not an easy task to show that the energy spectrum is real, since the corresponding Schrodinger equation is difficult to solve. However, by finding a special solution, our theorem can be checked. A special solution to the Schrodinger equation for this potential is given by

$$R(r) = r^{\beta-1} e^{-r^2/2 - i\alpha r}, \quad (23)$$

where $\beta = l+1$ and l is the angular momentum quantum number. The radial wave function vanishes as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and at the origin unless $l = 0$. The corresponding energy eigenvalue is $E = 3 + 2l + \alpha^2$. The potential includes imaginary coupling constants, but it has been shown that there exists a state for which the energy eigenvalue is real.

Let us calculate the expectation value of U^I . If we perform the integration, we find that $\langle U^I \rangle = \int_0^\infty (2\alpha r - 2\alpha \frac{\beta}{r}) |R|^2 dV = 0$, which is also in agreement with the above theorem.

So far, we have focused on the Schrodinger equation with complex potential. The theorem given here can be generalized for the nonlinear operators. For example, consider the nonlinear Schrodinger equation

$$(-\nabla^2 + U(x) + c|\Psi|^2 + if(x))\Psi = E\Psi, \quad (24)$$

where c is a real constant. The complex term with $f(x)$ is interpreted as the gain (loss) term for positive (negative) values of $f(x)$. The complex linear term with positive $f(x)$ accounts for the mechanism of loading the particles such as atoms and molecules into the system by pumping them from the external source. However, for the negative values of $f(x)$, the above equation describes a system that is continuously depleted by loss. This model is used for some system such as Bose Einstein condensation and optical systems.

Let us choose $f(x)$ as an odd function of x such as $f(x) = x$. The theorem given above can be applied here. It states that energy eigenvalues are real in this case since the nonlinear term $c|\Psi|^2$, which is real, can be viewed as an effective potential. This can be explained as follows. The atoms are injected into the system for $x > 0$ and lost from the system for $x < 0$, because $f(x)$ is an odd function. Since the injected atoms and the depleted atoms are equal to each other, the total number of atoms are left unchanged. In other words, the absolute square of Ψ is time independent. The contribution of the complex term to the Hamiltonian is zero $i \langle f(x) \rangle = 0$.

To sum up, requiring that a Hamiltonian be Hermitian is overly restrictive. It has been shown that a consistent physical theory of quantum mechanics can be built on a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian whose imaginary part satisfies $\langle U^I \rangle = 0$. As a special case, when the Hamiltonian is Hermitian $U^I = 0$, this condition is satisfied trivially. Hermiticity and PT symmetry conditions have been extended in this Letter.

* Electronic address: cyuce@anadolu.edu.tr

- [1] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 5243.
- [2] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, and H. F. Jones, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 270401.
- [3] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **31** (1998) L273.
- [4] C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, P. Meisinger, J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999) 2201.
- [5] F. Cannata, M. Ioffe, R. Roychoudhury, P. Roy, Phys. Lett. A **281** (2001) 305.
- [6] G. S. Japaridze, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35** 1709 (2002).
- [7] F. Cannata, G. Junker, J. Trost, Phys. Lett. A **246** (1998) 219.
- [8] B. Bagchi and R. Roychoudhury, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33** (2000) L1.
- [9] G. Levai, A. Sinha, P. Roy, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36** (2003) 7611.
- [10] A. Khare, U. Sukhatme, Phys. Lett. A **324** (2004) 406.
- [11] P. Dorey, C. Dunning and R. Tateo, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** (2001) 5679.
- [12] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002) 205.
- [13] M. Znojil, Phys. Lett. A **259** (1999) 220.